

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 GENEVA 02192 291021Z

12

ACTION DLOS-05

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 FEA-01 ACDA-10 AGR-10 AID-05

CEA-01 CEQ-01 CG-00 CIAE-00 CIEP-02 OFA-01 COME-00

DODE-00 DOTE-00 EB-07 EPA-04 ERDA-07 FMC-02 H-02

INR-07 INT-05 JUSE-00 L-02 NSAE-00 NSC-05 NSF-02

OES-05 OMB-01 PA-02 PM-03 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15

OIC-02 AF-06 ARA-10 EA-10 EUR-12 NEA-09 /183 W

----- 034222

R 290900Z MAR 75

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1736

UNCLAS GENEVA 2192

FROM USDEL LOS

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PLOS

SUBJECT: LOS: COMMITTEE III (POLLUTION) MEETING, MARCH 26, 1975

SUMMARY: AT THE 19TH FORMAL MEETING OF COMMITTEE III, THE UK INTRODUCED ARTICLES ON MARINE POLLUTION CO-SPONSORED BY NINE MEMBERS OF THE GROUP OF 17. AFTER GENERAL DEBATE ON THE NINE POWER PROPOSAL, THE USSR, WHICH WAS NOT A CO-SPONSOR, INTRODUCED ARTICLES DEALING WITH ISSUES NOT COVERED IN THE NINE POWER PROPOSAL. END SUMMARY

1. FOLLOWING A MOMENT OF SILENCE FOR KING FAISAL, THE UK INTRODUCED COMPREHENSIVE DRAFT POLLUTION ARTICLES ON BEHALF OF NINE MEMBERS OF THE GROUP OF 17 (BELGIUM, BULGARIA, DENMARK, GDR, FRG, GREECE, NETHERLANDS, POLAND, UK). JACKLING STRESSED UK VULNERABILITY AS A COASTAL STATE AND CHARACTERIZED THE PORT STATES POWERS INCLUDED IN THE DRAFT AS A DISTINCT SHIFT IN ITS POSITION.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 GENEVA 02192 291021Z

2. THE DRAFT WAS SUPPORTED IN INTERVENTIONS BY FOUR CO-SPONSORS (BELGIUM, NETHERLANDS, DENMARK, FINLAND), EACH OF WHICH EMPHASIZED THE VALUE OF THE TEXT AS A BASIS FOR DISCUSSION.

3. TANZANIA ATTACKED THE DRAFT IN A LENGTHY INTERVENTION, IN WHICH IT QUESTIONED THE "SERIOUSNESS" OF THE CO-SPONSORS, AND SUGGESTED THE DRAFT WAS A "SMOKESCREEN" FOR THE SHIPPING INTERESTS. IN PARTICULAR, TANZANIA COMPLAINED OF THE DRAFT'S LACK OF RESIDUAL POWERS IN COASTAL STATES. TANZANIA ALSO STRESSED THE NEED FOR A DOUBLE STANDARD APPLICABLE TO LAND BASED SOURCES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES, AND ARGUED THAT GOVERNMENT VESSELS IN COMMERCIAL SERVICE SHOULD ALSO BE COVERED BY THE EXEMPTION CLAUSE.

4. INDIA AND CANADA ALSO ATTACKED THE DRAFT AT SOME LENGTH, EACH STRESSING ITS OMISSION OF RESIDUAL COASTAL STATE POWERS. CANADA ALSO COMPLAINED ABOUT THE DRAFT'S FAILURE TO PROVIDE A DOUBLE STANDARD APPLICABLE TO ITS PROVISIONS ON LANDBASED SOURCES, AND CHARACTERIZED THE PORT STATE ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS AS "USEFUL" AND MERITING FURTHER STUDY.

5. THE US (AMB MOORE) WELCOMED THE INTRODUCTION OF THE NINE POWER DRAFT, BUT STRESSED THE U.S. WAS DISAPPOINTED IN THE RESTRICTIONS IT WOULD IMPOSE ON UNIVERSAL PORT STATE ENFORCEMENT. MOORE ALSO STRESSED THE US UNDERSTANDING THAT THE PROPOSED ARTICLE ON DUMPING WAS INTENDED TO REFER TO DUMPING AS DEFINED IN THE 1972 LONDON CONVENTION.

6. THE USSR INTRODUCED ITS OWN DRAFT ARTICLES, ALL OF WHICH DEAL WITH ISSUES NOT COVERED BY THE NINE POWER DRAFT, INCLUDING A PROVISION PROHIBITING DISCHARGES BY SHIPS WHILE TRANSITING STRAITS USED FOR INTERNATIONAL NAVIGATION. THE USSR ALSO EXPRESSED DOUBTS ON THE ACCEPTABILITY OF THE PORT STATE ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS IN THE NINE POWER DRAFT, STRESSING THE FACT THAT A PORT STATE WOULD BE ABLE THEREBY TO PROSECUTE VIOLATIONS

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 GENEVA 02192 291021Z

OCCURRING FAR FROM ITS OWN COASTLINE.

7. UNEP (THATCHER) FORMALLY INTRODUCED ITS PAPER ON GEMS (GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT MONITORING SYSTEM).

8. TANZANIA, SPEAKING FIRST AFTER CO-SPONSORS, OBJECTED TO THE FORMAL INTRODUCTION OF THE TWO PROPOSALS AS A RE-OPENING OF THE GENERAL DEBATE AND DELAYING PRO-

GRESS OF SERIOUS NEGOTIATIONS. THEY INDICATED IT WOULD  
HAVE BEEN MORE HELPFUL IF THEY HAD BEEN INTRODUCED AS  
INFORMAL PAPERS IN THE WORKING GROUP. DALE

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** LAW OF THE SEA, WATER POLLUTION, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, RESOLUTIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 29 MAR 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975GENEVA02192  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750110-0522  
**From:** GENEVA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t1975034/aaaaadjjo.tel  
**Line Count:** 118  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION DLOS  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** hartledg  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 20 JUN 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <20 JUN 2003 by maginmm>; APPROVED <02 MAR 2004 by hartledg>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** LOS: COMMITTEE III (POLLUTION) MEETING, MARCH 26, 1975 SUMMARY: AT THE 19TH FORMAL MEETING OF COMMITTEE III, THE UK  
**TAGS:** PLOS  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006